

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/603,288 | 06/24/2003 Pierre Albou | | 1948-4808 | 3252 |
| 27123 | 7590 07/27/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101 | | | CHOI, JACOB Y | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2875 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/27/2009 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| _ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | 10/603,288 | ALBOU, PIERRE | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | l | | |
| | Jacob Y. Choi | 2875 | |

| ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) | Application No. |
|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a No this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendm places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The following time periods: | ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which ee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally se above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ne fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 t in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period AMENDMENTS | 37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materi appeal; and/or | ially reducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final | ally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: Amendments to the independent claim 1 now requires "the exit lens co- which encompasses a region of the exit surface intersected by a vertical plane device, and first and second side parts of the exit surface laterally disposed on claim 5 "an exit lens having an entry surface and an exit surface each protub- of the light signals encountering the protuberance", and in claim 20 "a central p | defined by an optical axis of the projection opposing sides of the central part" in berance diverting in a given direction a part |
| surface intersected by a vertical plane the side parts being laterally disposed | |
| each of the modified surface regions diverting in a given direction a apart of the surface region.", hence it would require further consideration and new search. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of N | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sep the non-allowable claim(s). | parate, timely filed amendment canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | will be entered and an explanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 5-20. | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fili | ng a Notice of Appeal will not be entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | affidavit or other evidence is necessary |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier present | appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-13, and 15-20 have been consideration, the examiner requires further consideration and new search. | |
| In response to applicant's arguments that the references fail to show certain features of appropriation of the claims has not been considered prior to applicant's response and may | |
| In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references. | |
| can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to p is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references the available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2 | produce the claimed invention where there themselves or in the knowledge generally |
| 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). | |
| The Examiner will address such arguments of the claims in the fußure as based on applican | nt's appropriate response |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 0705

JOHN ANTHONY WARE